

1. The ELRA Marta González Barragán fora Plenum decision by EU-26 Member States.

2. "À l'attention de l'Autorité de protection des données" APD Belgium, whom 28/5/2025 obtained GDPR Complaint 7 v. notary d'Hennezel, not inscription by M. Registrar Azbair idem6 previous GDPR Complaints NOT yet registered by the APD with the SA3/Dos. n°.

3. Registered Letter to Registrar ESPEEL with the signed Plan 1929 by ACP Belliard 197.

c.c. the EDPB, the European Commissioner McGrath of DG Justice, the EU Parliament

**A. In sum: Even the ELRA and the EU-26 Members States' Land Registry of a Forced Sale against any long-term protected Owners' possessions - without right to their served verdicts in one year (the so-called *expropriations indirect* in Bad Faith) by the private notaries and bailiffs in Belgium, shall fall within the equal, obligatory and cross-borders GDPR rules for her 8 demands of the APD's higher sanction penalties.**

**"Même l'ELRA et le registre foncier des toutes ventes forcées des États membres de l'UE-26 contre des propriétaires protégés à long terme sans droit à leurs verdicts signifiés dans un an (soi-disant *expropriations* de mauvaise foi) par des notaires et huissiers privés en Belgique, relèvent à l'ADP des règles RGPD égales, obligatoires, transfrontalières pour ses 8 demandes de sanctions plus lourdes".**

B. Thanks for your kind reply after many years of "le Grand Silence" applied by the ELRA.

My 2025 Registered Letters were in French as I need your organizations' reply in French.

Neither my local criminal Advocate knows, nor the Instruction Judge can read English. Likewise, notary d'Hennezel, 3th co-owner Advocate Holslag, bailiffs Sacré & De Smetin 1081 Koekelberg and Forseti lex. The syndic TREVI Group SA in 1081 Koekelberg, of 8 squatters of 15 boxes –in 2007 bailiffs Michel and Caroline LEROY, MCL Investment SA, BCE 892301119 "bought" n° 6 and 8. On 27/11/2019, provided for free Rue Demot 18.

C. The ELRA Report of Belgium, annex 1: "**Data protection (privacy) is not an issue**".

1. Are Belgian notaries, advocates, bailiffs, judges, the SPF FINANCES "Bureau Sécurité Juridique Bruxelles 2" and Registrar Johan ESPEEL, AGDP "Administration générale de la Documentation patrimoniale" excluded from applying EU Regulation GDPR (2018:679)?

Facts:

From January 2025, the undersigned has dug up "The Truth" at visits to national Courts, as deprived of ALL possessions: apartment A0 & garden, B1 fully furnished, registered

9 years' rental of the A0 and 1 years' of the B1 and parcel A\_427/y2 Rue Demot 18-20-22 in Brussels. See her acquisition 25/10/1994 with subrogation of Sellers Van Lint-Nebel.

On 12/02/2025, the First Instance Court in Brussels, annexes 2-4, provided "à la main" the 2 judgements and the whole Act, with the following devastating pieces of evidence:

On the **Christmas Day 26/12/2018**, Mrs Judge C. DEHOUT, with her bailiff Stefan Sacré, for the opponent Advocate Flemish HOLSLAG and Advocate MARINOV, pronounced the 2<sup>nd</sup> judgement - AFTER the 1st on 9/10/2018. She contradicted the 100% proof 7/9/2018 by the Swedish "Länsstyrelsen" sent to the bailiff- found in the Act, annexes 5-6: on 3/3/2025 the translation to French, done in the French Court for the payment of 128 €

Erroneously, the Buyer Advocate Holslag lowered **35 000 €** of the Sale Act on 6/4/2017 by the Law 18/6/2018 on all ACPs "*créancier privilégié immobilier de forme alternative de résolution des litiges*". Though, it covers not for claimed retroactive of non-specified costs as not displayed in her RN-bis of 3/4/2019. She was again rejected a defense, despite the 1<sup>st</sup> judgement in October stated: "**Sachant que Madame Bergling n'avait pas été atteinte par la citation, la partie demander-esse aurait pu, en application du principe de loyauté procédurale, lui en adresser une copie par e-mail, de manière à lui permettre de prendre connaissance de la procédure introduite à son encontre et de lui laisser l'opportunité de faire valoir ses moyens de défense**".

Bailiffs Sacré & De Smet never served yannike2009@hotmail.com - erased in 10 years:

Article 10 of Regulation (EC) 1393/2007 on the service in the Member States of judicial and extrajudicial documents in civil and commercial matters sent to 1081 Koekelberg:

15. RASON FOR NON-SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

15.2. Address cannot be located

X

15.4. The address no longer resides in Sweden. Please see enclosed document. X

On 29/12/2013 and onwards, Mrs Bergling was uninscribed by the FINAL unappealable decision of the Swedish Population Registry by the Fiscal Agency, to protect her Right to Life by article 16 national law OS� against of the Swedish transparency. Her protection in Belgium between years 2009 – 2013 was "imported", annexes 7-9, and on 24/9/2024 prolonged in the judgement of the Court of Appeal Stockholm by article 10 GDPR

2. Does the Protection of Property in A1-P1 of the ECHR not exist against the pretended "vente forcée" in Belgium against the Owner - protected by article 10 GDPR? In Decision 28/11/2008, the Belgian Royal Prosecutor and its Federal Police's long investigations of many harmed patients, firstly the undersigned received her protected identity/address by Directive 95/47- now, EU Regulation GDPR. And, also in several European countries.

Facts:

On 18/09/2020, annex 10, notary d'Hennezel signed her erroneous PV of the Forced Sale 27/11/2019 never served to the Owner by bailiffs Sacré, De Smet and Pauwels. She sold **the substituted property - NOT mentioned in the "citation" on 22/4/2011 by Me Verbraken/bailiff Pauwels**. Nor did her A0 & garden exist in the non-served verdict 6/10/2017 by the Court of Appeal according to article 806 CJ:

**Any unserved verdicts vaporize after one (1) year.**

The notary erased Mrs Bergling's totally renovated, half-furnished apartment A0 & garden (397/1000) - instead of the building in verdicts RG 11/5214/A and 2016AR718.

On 1/7/2010 and 6/7/2010, this Art Deco house had been transformed into the "ACP, Association of Co-owners Rue Demot with BCE n° by the 2 Sales' Act duly registered:

INCORPORA SA sold the flat A2 (250/1000) to the 3rd co-owner Ms Picheny and the A0 & garden to Ms Bergling. Her SA Incorpora kept and continued to rent out the B1 and A1.

3. *May Registrar ESPEEL send Mrs Bergling the HIDDEN 6-9 roles registered by receveur without a name? Did they contain the sums paid without publicity of "the global world"?*

*The double judgements RG 18A4154 in the "Justice de Paix" 1040 Brussels of 25/6/2019 had the amount **21 665,90 € sent to bailiff Sacré in 1081 Koekelberg**. It was increased to the "withdrawal" of **129058,67 €** in the PV signed 18/9/2020 by notary d'Hennezel.*

Of course, without any references in her "PV" of her pretended "served" judgements.

4. *How did her matrix ("matrice cadastrale") **472Y2P0000** bought 25/10/1994, became*

*"Le jardin. Identifiant parcellaire" **0427Y 2 P0013**" - without the obligatory Report by a Land-surveillor? On 2011, notary Van Bellinghem ordered before her right to sell B1 and A0. **The 17 notaries illegally split her parcel 427/Y2 - without any "géomètre-expert"**.*

Facts:

The Sales' Acts 6/7/2010 to Ms Picheny and 6/4/2017 to Holslag contain Rue De Mot 18.

In 2011, the SPF Finances (AGDP) Director General, signed P O Land-surveillor Lothe, had promised the correction of "l'Erreur de mutation" by the 1992 non-signed Mandate by notary Brohee, based on her judgement on 29/6/2011 by the Judge Vincent Kestelot.

However, in 2011-27/11/2019 this alteration was denied by 17 notaries and by PRECAD by SPF Finances Mrs Flémal. She "obliged" a notary contrary to AM-AR 2013 and 2015.

E. The ELRA is paid by the CEE DG JUSTICE, but now establishes by "le flou belge".

Please compare the 9/6/2025 verdict by the Swedish judiciary, a box used in 40 years:

A Free google translation."No right for neighbor to use garage by the Court of Appeal:

The neighbor is considered to be the owner of a garage on the complainant' s property. However, it has not been shown that any right of use of the land has been taken over or that a right of use has arisen as a result of the garage having been on the site for over 40 years and during this time being used by someone other than the complainants. The neighbor is therefore obliged to remove the garage from the complainants' property".

*"Aucun droit d'usage du garage pour le voisin après ses 40 ans usage selon la Cour d'appel: Le voisin est considéré comme propriétaire d'un garage situé sur la propriété des plaignants. Cependant, il n'a pas été démontré qu'un droit d'usage du terrain a été acquis ou qu'un droit d'usage est né du fait que le garage se trouvait sur le terrain depuis plus de 40 ans et qu'il était utilisé pendant cette période par une personne autre que les plaignants. Le voisin est donc tenu de retirer le garage de la propriété des plaignants".*

F. "Le Grand Silence" applied without dossiers n° of my 7 GDPR Complaints send to the Belgian ADP, despite they and annexes are also displayed on:

[www.ACPDEMOT.eu](http://www.ACPDEMOT.eu).

<https://www.autoriteprotectiondonnees.be/citoyen/l-autorite/organisation>

Biarritz on 13 June 2025, Yannike Bergling without a judgement nor debts in excerpt RN-bis 3/4/2019 issued by notaries, annex ./.

Retired of the European Commission and Candidate to the Swedish Bar Association PS. If the ELRA is a neutral EU-organization, stop working for Belgian money laundering:

yannike2004@ and yannike2009@hotmail.com were erased more than <15 years ago.

Annex ./.  3/4/2019, RN-bis excerpt – without BELGIAN judgement as never served

Annex 1: In 2024, The ELRA's official publication on its web-site regarding GDPR

Annexes 2-4: 12/2/2025, The Truth in 60 pages of RG 18/5904/A, *Me Holslag v. Berling*

Annexes 5-6: 3/3/2025, Official translation to French by the French Courts' system of 128 €

Annexes 7-9: 29/12/2013 and 14/11/2023, **HER Foreign Address** as unregistered year 2013

Annex 10: 18/9/2020, 5 "Rôles" and the 4 HIDDEN pages which were duly registered

---

**Från:** ELRA Secretariat <secretariat@elra.eu>

**Skickat:** den 4 juni 2025 16:43

**Till:** yannike2018@hotmail.com <yannike2018@hotmail.com>

**Kopia:** yannike2004@hotmail.com <yannike2004@hotmail.com>;  
yannike2009@hotmail.fr <yannike2009@hotmail.fr>

**Ämne:** ELRA: Legal Case Documentation Received

Dear Ms. Yannike,

We hope this message finds you well.

We acknowledge receipt of the documents you have sent us regarding the legal proceedings related to a property matter.

However, we would like to kindly inform you that the European Land Registry Association (ELRA) is a non-profit organisation that does not have the capacity to intervene in individual legal cases.

Our mission is to facilitate the exchange of knowledge and promote cooperation among European land registries, but we do not provide legal assistance or representation in personal legal disputes.

We are truly sorry that we cannot be of help in this matter.

If there is anything else we may assist you with, within the scope of our activities, we remain at your disposal.

With kind regards,



**Marta González Barragán**

*ELRA Secretariat*

Avenue de Cortenbergh, 66

1000, Brussels

Tel.: +32.2.280.14.45

[www.elra.eu](http://www.elra.eu)



*This email and files transmitted with it are confidential. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender and then delete it immediately. Please consider the environment before printing this email.*